



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

cd

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,511	09/05/2000	Mitsuhiro Nomi	F-6636	7918

7590 09/12/2003
Jordan and Hamburg
122 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10168

EXAMINER

JONES, SCOTT E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3713

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003

zo

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/655,511	Applicant(s) NOMI ET AL. <i>al</i>	
	Examiner Scott E. Jones	Art Unit 3713	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott E. Jones. (3)_____.

(2) Lawrence I. Wechsler. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 10 September 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kosugi et al. (U.S. 5,229,756).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative requested a telephone interview to discuss ways to amend claim 21 to distinguish the claimed invention over the combination of Sagawa and Kosugi. Regarding claim 21, Applicant's representative suggested the language, "an entirety of said sensor of said signal generator being movable by the game player from a first location to a second location by movement of said signal generating device retained by the game player, said change in velocity being measured as a difference between a first velocity of said sensor when at said first location and a second velocity of said sensor when at said second location." The examiner believes the suggested claim language distinguishes over Kosugi because in Kosugi, although the sensor portion attached to the player's elbow moves, this sensor portion does not move to a second location. However, the examiner believes the proposed claim language raises new issues that would require additional search and consideration. In conclusion, full and fair consideration will be given to Applicant's timely submitted official written response to the office.

Jordan and Hamburg LLP
122 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10168
Phone (212) 986-2340
FAX (212) 953-7733

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE: Sept 9, 2003TO: Exam Scott JonesFAX NO. 703-746-3237FROM: L. WechslerRe: F-6636 09/655,5113 Total number of pages including this cover sheet

MESSAGE:

Claims along the lines of what we discussed.

ANY PROBLEMS IN RECEPTION, PLEASE TELEPHONE 1 516 773-3565

CONFIDENTIALITY

The documents transmitted herewith, contain information that is confidential. The information is intended only for the use of the recipient named on this facsimile coversheet. In the event of mistransmission, please do not read, disclose, copy, use or distribute this transmission or the information contained herein. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify me by telephone immediately, so we may arrange for the return of the transmitted documents at no cost to you.

PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENT.
SUPPLEMENTS INTERVIEW
HELD ON 9-10-03.
DO NOT MAIL
Scott Jones
9-10-03

Applicant : Mitsuhiro NOMI
Serial No. : 09/655,511
Filed : September 5, 2000
For : GAME SYSTEM
Group Art Unit : 3713
Docket No. : F-6636

PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENT

- NO 21. A game system comprising:
- a signal generating device retainable by a game player in a manner permitting transfer of at least one of a hitting motion and a swinging motion imparted thereto by said game player, the signal generating device including a signal generator including a sensor for sensing at least one of an acceleration and an impact of the signal generating device, said signal generator generating a signal in response to said at least one of the hitting motion and the swinging motion when said at least one of said acceleration and said impact is sensed while said signal generating device is retained by the game player, said signal being indicative of a change in velocity of said signal generating device being moved by said game player, said signal generating device being movable by the game player from a first location to a second location, said change in velocity being measured as a difference in a first velocity of said signal generating device when at said first location and a second velocity when at said second location, said first location being independent of the game player when said signal generating device is at said second location relative to a reference point independent of the game player;
 - a display having a display screen for displaying and successively renewing an instruction of motion on the display screen;
 - evaluating means for evaluating a game result based on a generation timing of the signal; and
 - a sound generator for outputting at least a background sound.

Alternatively, a claim could be worded:

469

21. A game system comprising:

a signal generating device retainable by a game player in a manner permitting transfer of at least one of a hitting motion and a swinging motion imparted thereto by said game player, the signal generating device including a signal generator including a sensor for sensing at least one of an acceleration and an impact of the signal generating device, said signal generator generating a signal in response to said at least one of the hitting motion and the swinging motion when said at least one of said acceleration and said impact is sensed while said signal generating device is retained by the game player, said signal being indicative of a change in velocity of said signal generating device being moved by said game player, an entirety of said sensor of said signal generator being movable by the game player from a first location to a second location by movement of said signal generating device retained by the game player, said change in velocity being measured as a difference between a first velocity of said sensor when at said first location and a second velocity of said sensor when at said second location relative to a reference point independent of the game player;

a display having a display screen for displaying and successively renewing an instruction of motion on the display screen;

evaluating means for evaluating a game result based on a generation timing of the signal; and

a sound generator for outputting at least a background sound.